

## Aylesbury Garden Town – Project Board Meeting

2.30pm Monday 13th May 2019

**PRESENT:** Cllr B. Chapple (**BC**) (BCC)(Chair), Cllr A. Macpherson (**AM**) (AVDC), T. Aldworth (**TA**) (AVDC), P. Brockway (**PB**) (HYAS), R. Harrington (**RH**) (BTVLEP), R. Jeremy (**RJ**) (AGT), G. Merry (**GM**) (AVDC), S. Ovens (**SO**) (AGT), Cllr C. Harris (**CH**) (BCC), Cllr C. Paternoster (**CaP**), Steve Hill (**SH**) (HYAS), C. Perkins (**CeP**) (AGT), N. Gibson (**NG**) (BCC), C. Faine (**CF**) (SEMLEP).

**APOLOGIES:** C. Ward (**CW**) (AVDC), L. Johnson (**LJ**) (HE)

### 1. Welcome, Introductions, Overview

	Action By
Brief introductions were given, board welcomed <b>BC</b> formally as new chair.	

### 2. Declarations of Interest

	Action By
There were none.	

### 3. Minutes and Actions from Last Meeting

	Action By
Board agreed with minutes from 17.04.2019 and had no issues with actions taken <b>CeP</b> reminded board that minutes are available online.	

### 4. AGT Masterplan Draft V1 Engagement Feedback and Key Issues Arising

	Action By
<p><b>SO</b> presented to board, covering the Draft Masterplan V1 feedback that had been covered at the previous board along with updates from the most recent round of engagement which had included; Members, Officers, Stakeholders &amp; Partners and Built Environment forum with a reminder that the Community Panel would be held on the 14<sup>th</sup>.</p> <p>Board were reminded that these comments would go towards V2 of the Draft Masterplan which is expecting to be distributed on the 29<sup>th</sup> of May.</p> <p>It was expressed that the comments and views received had been predominantly positive and that the sessions had been very successful.</p> <p>Board raised a slight concern over the coverage we had received at</p>	

<p>these events, with <b>NG</b> questioning whether we are reaching the correct groups.</p> <p><b>CeP</b> highlighted that although numbers were low in relation to number of invites sent, the overall turnout for these engagement sessions had seen a wide variety of people attend.</p> <p>A brief discussion was held over the benefits of taking engagement material to specific target groups, with talks to look into other methods that could be used.</p> <p><b>SH</b> went on to present on changes to the Masterplan Framework highlighting the separation of the Masterplan SPD into two separate documents; A Masterplanning Document and a separate Infrastructure SPD.</p> <p>Board overall had no major issues with this change, although there was concern over how this could affect timelines and VALP. Although there was concern about the non-statutory nature of the Masterplan and whether or not it would be adhered to. <b>NG</b> countered that as our Masterplan goes beyond planning this should be less of an issue.</p> <p><b>BC</b> and board wanted confirmation on how other Garden Town's were delivering, <b>PB</b> reassured that each different Garden Town has their own delivery methodology and difficulties to overcome.</p> <p>In terms of the future versions of the Draft Masterplan it was recognised that Board would be asked to ratify the changes made in versions two and three.</p>	<p><b>CeP</b></p>
--	-------------------

## 5. Governance and Delivery

	Action By
<p><b>PB</b> gave a presentation on Delivery Models and updated on the working group that had been set up to cover this.</p> <p>There was an overview of long term and short term issues that needed to be addressed, these were focussed around pre and post Unitary. <b>PB</b> highlighted the fact that MHCLG are due to launch a fund for authorities considering innovation delivery models and this could present an opportunity for assistance.</p> <p>It was suggested by <b>NG</b> that a paper is put together that can be presented to leading figures of the Shadow Executive to help solidify AGT's place on the agenda for Unitary. It was recognised by <b>AM</b> that this would be beneficial, there is a concern that during the Unitary process AGT might not have the impetus necessary.</p> <p>Board discussed the current membership and any other changes to the Board that could be made, whilst there was recognition that there were</p>	<p><b>PB</b></p>

<p>other interests that it may be beneficial to have on the Board it was decided that, considering Unitary, any discussions about changes to board should wait.</p> <p>It was agreed that a further report on options for future delivery and governance models would be provided over the summer/early autumn, to feed into Unitary preparations, with a view to implementation as part of the new authority and consideration of any changes to the governance that may be needed in the short term.</p>	<b>GM/PB</b>
--	--------------

## 6. Project Overview and Programme Updates

	Action By
<p><b>Highlight Report and Pilot Updates</b></p> <p><b>SO</b> reviewed the highlight report which was appreciated in its new format. An overview of the budget and pilot updates was also given.</p> <p>It was discussed that any initiatives that AGT can be linked to should be updated to the board in future.</p> <p><b>SO</b> to receive mobilisation report from <b>NG</b>.</p> <p>Recognising questions about budget, <b>SH</b> to update board separately.</p> <p><b>Transport Update</b></p> <p><b>RJ</b> updated board on status of various transport projects including the LCWIP with further updates to occur as these projects progress. It was asked if the presentation material could be circulated to board.</p> <p>Board discussed the need to decide on how important each of the different aspects are and what the biggest driver or policy objectives are. There was concern that there isn't enough clarity and drive on which areas we are dedicated to change and exactly how we plan to achieve that.</p> <p>It was discussed that other groups should have an interest and could be spoken to for both inclusion and possible investment opportunities.</p> <p><b>Communications and Marketing</b></p> <p><b>CeP</b> gave a presentation on the status of various marketing projects as well as updates on social media.</p> <p>Concerns from <b>AM</b> over content on the website being out of date, <b>CeP</b> reassured <b>AM</b> that the Aylesbury Garden Town website was up to date, however recognised that both the AVDC and BCC webpages on Aylesbury Garden Town were out of date. <b>CeP</b> reassured that communication was already ongoing with the relevant departments at both authorities to start updating.</p>	<p><b>AGT</b></p> <p><b>SO</b></p> <p><b>SH</b></p> <p><b>GM</b></p>

<p>Board thanked <b>CeP</b> for her hard work and time as part of AGT, given her imminent departure in July.</p> <p><b>Health and Wellbeing and Community Stewardship</b></p> <p><b>PB</b> gave a brief overview on the status of the two workstreams, board had no questions.</p>	<p><b>CeP</b></p>
--	-------------------

**7. AOB**

	Action By
<p><b>CaP</b> thanked the AGT team for their hard work over the last two weeks with the engagement sessions.</p>	

**8. Dates of Future Meetings**

	Action By
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tuesday 4<sup>th</sup> June, 14:00, The Diamond Room, AVDC – Extraordinary meeting for Draft Masterplan V2</li> <li>• Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> July, 14:00, The Paralympic Room, AVDC</li> <li>• Tuesday 10<sup>th</sup> September, The Paralympic Room, AVDC</li> </ul>	